

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/007,538	11/09/2001		David L. Thompson	P-8044.02	3257	
27581	7590	03/30/2004		EXAMINER		
MEDTRON	IIC, INC	•	MACHUGA, JOSEPH S			
710 MEDTR	ONIC PA	ARKWAY NE				
MS-LC340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55432-5604	3762	7		
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>′</u>							
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)							
0.55	10/007,53	18	THOMPSON								
Office Act	tion Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Joseph S.		3762							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status				•	•						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed	on .			•						
2a)☐ This action is F	, ,)⊠ This action is n	on-final.								
,—											
Disposition of Claims											
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.											
Application Papers											
1	n is objected to by the										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.											
1	ot request that any objecti		-		-D 4 404/J)						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.											
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119										
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
Attachment(s)											
1) Notice of References Cit 2) Notice of Draftsperson's 3) Information Disclosure S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Patent Drawing Review (PT0 tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or P			mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTC)-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/007,538

Art Unit: 3762

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6535766 in view of either Bradley et al #6262637, Yano et al #6198208 or Larson III et al. #6215375 or Vale #5291159.

Thompson et al disclose a medical implant device that includes a downlink communication channel. The telemetry circuits in the device uses a microelectricalmechanical filter. Not disclosed is the use of a thin film bulk acoustic resonator filter.

Bradley et al, Yano et al, Larson III et al and Vale all teach the use of a thin film bulk acoustic resonator filter in frequency selection circuits of mobile communication

Application/Control Number: 10/007,538

Art Unit: 3762

equipment because of its size and reliability. Given these documents it would have been obvious to replace the microelectromechanical filter in Thompson et al communication device with a thin film bulk acoustic resonator filter as taught by either Bradley et al, Yano et al, Larson III et al or Vale to provide a sub-component that's small and reliable.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Machuga whose telephone number is 703-305-6184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Joseph S. Machuga Examiner

Art Unit 3762

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700